

Applicants respectfully submit that examination of at least Inventions I and II can be made without a serious burden. For example, claims 17-21 (Invention II) are directed to “a method of treating allergic reactions in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal an anti-allergic effective amount of a pharmaceutical composition as defined in claim 1.” (emphasis added). Searching the art related to the composition as defined by claim 1 (which includes an antihistamine) would also result in identifying art related to the administration of the same composition in an “anti-allergic effective amount.” Thus, examination of at least Inventions I and II claims (1-21) can be made without serious burden.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn, and that each of claims 1-25 presently pending in this application be examined.

Dated: April 20, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

James W. Brady, Jr.

Registration No.: 32,115

Jeremy A. Cubert

Registration No.: 40,399

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &  
OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicants